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Reasons for motion 18

Motion 65 opened a discussion that led to a deadlock

% of surface covered by canopy detected from space

Economic and social aspects are left aside
Motion 65 opened a discussion that led to a deadlock

- % of surface covered by canopy detected from space
- Economic and social aspects are left aside
Objectives of motion 18

Development of a effective regional protection approaches under HCV 2 that guarantee:

- A real landscape approach
- All ecological aspects are considered
Objectives of motion 18

Development of an effective regional protection approaches under HCV 2 that guarantee:

- Economic viability of FSC FM certification
- Quality employment
- Increase of FSC certified area
Objectives of motion 18
Objectives of motion 18

Development of an effective regional protection approaches under HCV 2 that guarantee:

- Consent of all affected stakeholders in protection decisions
- Social needs are taken into account
- Local legislation and protection policies
Benefits of motion 18

Withdrawing motion 65 is not a step backwards but the only way forward to allow the development of effective, regional forest protection measures that cover all relevant social, ecological and economic aspects.
What other motions?

The following ATIBT motions focus on specific aspects of motion 65:

- 10/2020 Respecting the proposals of Standards Development Groups (SDGs)
- 19/2020 Compliance with the law (legislative and regulatory framework) outlined in motion 65 in 2014
- 21/2020 Development of a holistic approach for HCV2 management
- 23/2020 Approach to address maintaining HCVs at the Landscape Level
Thank you for your attention!

Agree or disagree? We want to discuss with you: markus.pfannkuch@preciouswoods.com